



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,577	03/29/2004	Victoria Milne	4631/006	2077
22440	7590	11/17/2006	EXAMINER	
GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
270 MADISON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8TH FLOOR			3781	
NEW YORK, NY 100160601				

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

42

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,577	MILNE, VICTORIA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen J. Castellano	3781	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 4-13 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

Art Unit: 3781

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the faceted sphere, cylinder, cube and pyramid shapes of the support must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 4-14 are objected to because they are incomplete. Claim 4 is dependent on itself. Claims 5-13 depend from claim 4.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The metes and bounds of claim 4 and its dependents can't be determined because claim 4 depends from itself.

Claims 4-13 will not be treated according to the art.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Elliott.

Elliott discloses a vessel (pot P) for plants, the pot is inherently capable of containing, displaying or serving food, the vessel comprises a base (hanger 10) and a basin (pot P) upheld by the base, the base comprising three connectors (in a first interpretation the connectors are chains 31) and three supports (in a first interpretation the supports are spokes 13), the connectors are joined to one another at their distal ends to form a junction (one of the chains includes common hook 32 which attaches all the chains), the junction is positioned at the center of a circle having a radius that is the same as the radial length of each connector, the supports are adapted to be positioned about the periphery of the circle, the basin is rested upon and upheld by the supports.

In a second interpretation, the base comprises three supports (chains 31) and three connectors (spokes 13), the base is adapted to rest upon the supports (as shown in Fig. 2 and 3, the pot rests against the chain on the right side of these figures) and the base is upheld by the supports (as the chain holds the connectors which collectively support the bottom of the pot and the weight of the pot.

Re claim 3, the floral shape of the support is the linear shape of a stem of a flower in the first interpretation wherein the support is spoke 13 and the oval shape of a flower petal in the second interpretation wherein the support is the chain.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ancona (D479433) in view of Naxon.

Ancona discloses a trivet comprising a base, the base comprising three sphere shaped supports and three wire connectors, each of the wire connectors has a "99" configuration when viewed from the bottom as shown in Fig. 4, each connector has a support connected at its proximal end (outer end), each connector joined to the other two connectors at their distal end (inner end) to form a junction, the junction is positioned at the center of a circle having a radius the same length as the length of each connector. Ancona discloses the invention except for the basin. Naxon teaches a basin 3 positioned on a trivet 40 (see Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to place the basin on the trivet of Ancona to rest upon and be upheld by the supports of

Ancona for the reason of protecting a table or other supporting surface from the heated bottom of a basin which could damage the top surface of the table.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ancona (D479093) or Hollinger in view of Naxon.

Ancona and Hollinger each discloses a trivet comprising a base, the base comprising three sphere shaped supports and three wire connectors, each of the wire connectors has a "U" or teardrop shaped configuration, each connector has a support connected at its proximal end (outer end), each connector joined to the other two connectors at their distal end (inner end) to form a junction (the inner ends are attached to each other indirectly through an inner ring, the junction is positioned at the center of a circle having a radius the same length as the radial length of each connector. Ancona discloses the invention except for the basin. Naxon teaches a basin 3 positioned on a trivet 40 (see Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to place the basin on the trivet of Ancona to rest upon and be upheld by the supports of Ancona for the reason of protecting a table or other supporting surface from the heated bottom of a basin which could damage the top surface of the table.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flexibility plan (IFP).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S. Castellano
Stephen J. Castellano
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3781

sjc